

so welcome to today's lecture

so as you can

see this is a lecture number nine on the topic of chemical kinetics today what we will

do is remember yesterday we were dealing with first order rate equations and you know the

derivation of the integrated law of the same and some characteristic features of a first

order rate equation what we also introduced was along with a you know all the general things

was the concept of relaxation time and how the relaxation time can a you know the expression

of the same can be obtained from the integrated rate equation

so today we will move one step a

further what we will do is we will have a quick look at a second order equations

so for second

order equations you know everything remains the same only that it becomes a second order okay

so let's you know talk about then second order reactions that is reactions that follow second order

kinetics

so we will go back to a general reaction is always like this a going to p right

and here we say that the rate  $r$  is equal to  $k a^2$

so once you know we have this then

what we do is we go ahead and try to derive or derive the rate equation

so again

rate you know is expressed as  $-\frac{d a}{d t}$

so we have two sides of the equation

so one side is this the expression of rate in terms of the change in concentration and

the other side is this as the rate expression would give in terms of the concentration

of  $a$  raised to the power two this being a second order rate equation ok

so you know in

this case what a i will do is i will a just go ahead and start with this say this is one and

this is two ok for this second order reaction

so now what we do is we equate both sides so

what we do is we say that ok now we have this two different expressions for rate and we say that ok

$-\frac{d a}{d t}$  is equal to  $k a^2$  right

so let this be three again as usual as

we have done before we bring  $a$  on this side ok we take  $d t$  to the other side now we

integrate

so within the limits  $t$  of  $a$  over  $a^2$  is equal to  $-\frac{k}{a} t$  so

what are the limits again remember  $t$  is equal to zero then this would be a  $0$  when  $t$  is equal to  $t$  this would be  $a t$  okay

so this  $a t$  remember as we have been

using this term before having set this up you are almost there

so you just integrate these

are standard integrals remember again on the right hand side you have  $k$  which is a constant the rate constant it can be taken out of the integral right so what you are left with is based on this what you left with is then  $\int \frac{1}{a - kt} dt$  with a negative sign is equal to  $-\frac{1}{k} \ln(a - kt) + C$  ok because that's what you are doing you are doing a standard integral remember so there would be negative sign so this two so  $\ln$  is equal to  $\ln(a - kt) - \ln(a)$  plus one and the negative sign out up front and then you have the negative sign out here right and then this is coming from the integral one over consideration of  $a - kt$  at time  $t$  minus one over concentration of  $a$  at time zero or this is the initial concentration this is equal to  $-\frac{1}{k} \ln \frac{a - kt}{a}$  so  $\ln$  can cancel the negative signs from both sides and then  $\ln$  can rewrite this as  $\ln(a - kt) - \ln(a) = -kt$  or this is the final form  $\ln(a - kt) = \ln(a) - kt$   $\ln(a - kt) + kt = \ln(a)$  and let this be number four so this is the final form of the rate equation for our reaction following second order kinetics second order kinetics based based on the fact that you are talking about a single reactant a single direction means like this where  $a$  is going to  $p$   $a \rightarrow p$  this is a single reaction there is no other reactant in place right it is not like  $a + b \rightarrow p$  it is only  $a$  going to  $p$  and then the rate is given as  $k a^2$  signifying that it is a second order reaction its only under those conditions is this equation value now lets try to look at the characteristics of this equation as you can again realize see we mostly try to deal with linear equations right so this is also linear equations we have done it for the zero order reaction we have done it for the first order reaction we are trying to do again the same thing for the second order reaction so what we see again is a linear equation there is a linear dependence so so what is the linear dependence of so you can see if  $\ln$  go by this equation 4 right and  $\ln$  say  $\ln$  plot reciprocal of  $a - kt$  that is  $\frac{1}{a - kt}$  against time  $t$   $\ln$  should be getting a straight line with a positive slope so which means which means if  $\ln$  have a plot like this with time on the x axis as usual and the reciprocal of the concentration on the y axis then my plot would go something like this ok for a second order equation and this intercept is what it is  $\frac{1}{a}$  and slope

is equal to  $k$  right this is a positive slope anyway you directly get  $k$  from here ok  
so in other words what we can say is that the signature of a second order reaction is like this that the plot of  $\frac{1}{[A]}$  versus  $t$  is linear right  
that is concentration at different times against time is linear is linear right  
so the plot of the reciprocal of the concentration versus the time no matter what the unit is has to be linear only if it is linear only and only if it is linear we say that this reaction follows second order kinetics ok good  
so what have we done we started from when we start from the integrated rate equations we first need to use half life right we will do that the same thing out here for a second order reaction or equation then we introduce the zero order kinetics  
we went for the first order kinetics and we are doing the second order kinetics right  
so let's talk about the half life now  
so the half life for a second order reaction  
so we are talking about the half life out here we know now what the half life means again  
so  $t_{1/2}$  is what it is that time at which the initial concentration  $[A]_0$  goes to half of  $[A]_0$  ok  
so that is what  $t_{1/2}$  of  $s$   
so that is the time taken is the time taken for the concentration to fall to half of its original value right  
so if its original value of initial value is  $[A]_0$  then the time it takes to fall to half of  $[A]_0$   
is again  $t_{1/2}$  as we always keep on saying so what we will do is to derive the expression for  $t_{1/2}$   
 $t_{1/2}$  we will go back to the expression we had from before  $k t$   
so this was our equation  
four  
so what are you going to do here because it is  $t_{1/2}$  because it is  $t_{1/2}$  then what we will do is this  $t$  becomes  $t_{1/2}$  we replace it by  $t_{1/2}$   
right and this  $[A]$  at  $t_{1/2}$  of what happens is this is  $\frac{1}{2} [A]_0$   
so these are the only two changes we make in the equation everything assumes the same you know that is why or this is the utility of a red equation that you want something you get it from the right equation because you have you have an equation which reflects the dependence of the variation of the concentration on time right ok  
so we will insert these things in equation number four to get the expression for  $t_{1/2}$  right  
so let it let me write now again so then what I said was this is  $\frac{1}{k [A]_0 (1/2 - 1)}$

so i have taken a  
naught to the other side is equal to  $k t_{1/2}$  or i can write  $k t_{1/2}$  is  
equal  
to  $\frac{2}{a - [A]_{t_{1/2}}}$  or  $t_{1/2}$  can be written as  $\frac{1}{k a}$   
by  $k a$   
so this  
is expression for the half life for a second order reaction what does this you  
know  $t_{1/2}$  tell  
you then  
so this  $t_{1/2}$  is telling you its the time taken to go down to half of its  
original  
value and the expression for  $t_{1/2}$  is given like this it is equal to  $\frac{1}{k a}$   
 $k$  is the  
rate constant is a constant but it is also depend upon the concentration right  
that means  
 $t_{1/2}$  is inversely proportional is inversely proportional to the initial  
consideration of  
the concentration of the reactant you have in question  
so what does this mean  
what it means is we say that the based on  $t_{1/2}$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{k a}$   
so expression we just  
derived we say that half life half life is proportional is proportional to the  
reciprocal that means one by inverse is  
proportional to the reciprocal of concentration what does this mean what it  
means is larger  
the concentration lesser is a half life larger the concentration lesser is the  
half life  
so larger  
the concentration lesser is the half life again this tells you that this is a  
feature or a  
characteristic feature of a reaction following second order kinetics in other  
words the final  
statement we make about this half life is this  
so you can realize that as my concentration  
decreases right that means as my concentra as my reaction is proceeding the  
concentration of  
a is decreasing right and remember we can have half lives at different times  $t_{1/2}$   
and and  
so on and because this concentration is decreasing what is going to happen  
and it  
is inversely proportional  
so your half life is going to increase right because the inverse  
reproportion  
so what we can say now then is that half life increases as the reaction  
proceeds reaction proceeds and this is for a second order reaction in other  
words for  
the second order reaction as the reaction would proceed the concentration  
of the reactant would decrease and because this half life shows an inverse  
dependence that means  
proportional to the reciprocal of concentration hence the half life should  
increase so  
this again reminds you of this fact that  $t_{1/2}$  being a preliminary check of the  
type of reaction we have at hands so

let's pause for a moment and think about this  $t_{1/2}$  characteristics remember when we talked about  $t_{1/2}$  and this was even before we started with the rate equations we said the  $t_{1/2}$  can give you a guide to what type of reaction you are monitoring or it can be a possible guide and then we went ahead we started with the zero order what did we see the  $t_{1/2}$  was proportional to the concentration that means as the concentration would increase so would  $t_{1/2}$  second we went for the first order what did we find first order we found the first order the  $t_{1/2}$  is equal to  $\frac{\ln 2}{k}$  or  $\frac{0.693}{k}$   $t_{1/2}$  had no dependence on the concentration whatsoever so no matter no matter what the concentration is at any point given point of time in the reaction  $t_{1/2}$  is always the same so that is the signature of the first order reaction reaction following first order kinetics and what we just just derived was for a second order reaction or a reaction following second order kinetics then  $t_{1/2}$  is inversely proportional to the concentration which means that as the reaction proceeds as the reaction proceeds the concentration decreases and the half life increases hopefully now you understand that this  $t_{1/2}$  indeed indeed can be used as a preliminary check for the type of reaction you are monitoring or the type of reaction you want to study or you want to investigate right this is the importance of  $t_{1/2}$  or the half life now you realize that having done these derivations it would be easy for you to ah you know set up rate equations and derive anything else that you might want to do now if you take a step back and think about what we have derived right now what we did was this we said that a going to p now remember for first order kinetics we also did an equilibrium something a general equation where a is going to p that means now the rate is equal to  $-\frac{d[A]}{dt}$  which is equal to  $k[A]$  a square isn't it so what i want you to do is for a reaction like this which follows second order kinetics right derive the integrated rate law derive the integrate law and see how it turns out where this a that is the stoichiometric coefficient is coming in ok now another aspect so this is one this is one what did we say we said that ok i am i am still having a single reactant it distinguish still a single reactant case a is going to p that is what we derived right now now i am saying that ok this a is having a stoichiometric coefficient a general one you know you know ah general stoichiometric equation which might

be one if it  
if a is equal to one then i am coming back to this if a is not equal to one  
say if a is equal to two  
a visible three whatever then i have this thing that i have to take care of  
right and that is  
why you derive the rate law now there is another point to this the point is  
that yes we have  
done the single reactant case a going to b what about having two different  
reactants for example having a plus b into b ok what will  
happen there now here  
so the rate this being a second order  
equation the rate would be k times the concentration of a times the constant  
of b  
so what you told is that you are given a second order equation or  
so this equation  
follows second order kinetics right it follows this expression rate is equal  
to  $k a^2$  times  
concentration of a times concentration of b both being raised to the power one  
so one plus  
one is equal to two and hence this is a second order equation or reaction  
following second  
order kinetics good now what happens is if if concentration of a is equal to  
consideration  
of b right if concentration of a is equal to concentration of b then i  
can rewrite r is equal to  $k a^2$   
so this is say six is seven now once we have this you realize that  
this r is equal to  $k^2$  is exactly the same as of having a single  
reactant no problem now  
this is only applicable or only possible when i say that my concentration of  
a is equal to consideration of b however however if concentration of a is not  
equal to concentration of b that means if consideration of a is not equal to  
concentration  
of b then i can no longer write this i can no longer write this  
so my r is always equal  
to  $k a^2$   
so then my question to you is under these conditions where you  
are given that concentration of a is not equal to consideration of b you are  
given that the reaction you are following is of the type a plus b going to b  
and that it is a second order equation following this rate expression  
or rate law derive derive the integrated rate law for a reaction like mentioned  
above which means this one where you are given that the  
concentration is not equal to concentration of b that a plus b goes to p and  
that the reaction is  
following second order kinetics where r is equal to  $k a^2$  times consideration of a  
times consideration  
of b  
so this is again another problem for you please try it out you will find  
interesting as  
to what you get ok let us talk about a reaction involving several reactants  
like this  
so let give  
it a separate heading  
so this one is a reaction involving several reactants ok several reactants  
right

so what we mean  
by this is that we have a general form going to  $p$   
so let this be say it  
so if this is a reaction right where you are  
seeing that there is a reactant  $a$  there is reactant  $b$  right there can be other  
reactants but  
will not make it that complicated what we say that we will just stick to two  
types of reactants  
out here right they having their corresponding stoichiometric coefficients  
which can be one  
or which can be different from one right and we need to establish we need to  
establish we need to establish whether the rate equation can be written as or is  
of the form  $r$  is equal to  $k$   $a^{\alpha}$   $b^{\beta}$  you know rate constant  
 $a$  to the power  $\alpha$   $b$  to the power  $\beta$   
so this is important first this is a reaction involving several  
reactants in this case more than one  $a$  and  $b$  then  $a$  and  $b$  they are having  
their  
corresponding stoichiometric coefficient small  $a$  small  $b$  they are going to  
be the products now we are saying that how can we establish or can we establish  
whether the rate equation is equal to  $k$  times concentration of  $a$  to the power  
 $\alpha$  so  
 $b$  to the power  $\beta$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the orders with respect to those  
reactants  
so  $\alpha$  is the order with respect to  $a$   $\beta$  is the order with respect to  $b$   
and then  
the total order of the reaction would be  $\alpha + \beta$  right ok now what  
is the problem the problem is this  
so what is the problem the problem  
is that the rate the rate of reaction the rate of reaction now depends now  
depends on the concentration of both the reactions right  
so i can not only look at  
 $a$  i cannot only look at  $b$  because the rate is depending upon both right hence  
if this is a  
problem what we face with is that it is difficult it is difficult to separate  
out the contributions  
that means it is difficult to disentangle it is difficult to disentangle that  
means  
is difficult to separate out the effect of one reactant of one reactant from the  
other again what it means is if  
the rate is dependent upon both  $a$  and  $b$  it is difficult for me to separate  
out  
the individual contributions of these two reactants to the total rate  
right  
so that's why it says it is difficult to disentangle but then you know there  
are always ways out there always ways to tackle problems like this  
so what  
we then do is we arrange  
so way out what is the way  
out  
so the way out is we arrange are experimental we arrange our experimental  
conditions we arrange experimental correlations in such a way we that data  
analysis will be simplified ok  
so what is the way out then the way  
out is we devise or design experiment in such a way that we can simplify the

data analysis

right

so this is the keyword we arrange or design experiment conditions in such a way that the data analysis is not that cumbersome not that complicated

so the ways these you know there are two ways of doing this

so the two ways are as follows number one it is referred to as the isolation method and number two

it is referred to as the initial rate method we look at these two ways separately and

then another feature of these rate equations would come from here ok

so let us take these

two individually you will soon realize why ah i am going through this right it gives you a

better feeling of how complicated weight equations can be addressed or analyzed

so lets talk about this isolation method to start with right

so let us go back to our initial reaction we are talking about the isolation method remember

the reaction the general form was  $a + b$  with the corresponding stoichiometric coefficients

going to  $p$  let us take this reaction which is  $c_1 \text{ l o minus aqueous} + p \text{ r minus aqueous}$  going

to  $b \text{ r o minus aqueous} + c_1 \text{ minus a cos}$  right

so now this is reaction which involves

multiple reactants two reactants  $c_1 \text{ l o minus}$   $b \text{ r minus}$  these are the reactants products mean

$b \text{ r o minus}$   $c_1 \text{ minus}$  if you remember i think in lecture number two or three we had introduced

this ah equation to look at the graphical representations of equations that means

ah or reactions that means looking at the kinetic profiles and this reaction was taken

as an example

so we bring this reaction back and ah base our forthcoming discussion on this reaction ok

so now having ah you know got in this reaction

so let this reaction well

let us give it a number say nine a plausible rate equation a plausible rate equation can be written like this

so we can say ah plausible rate equation for this one can be written

as  $r$  is equal to  $k c_1 \text{ l o minus}^\alpha b \text{ r minus}^\beta$

so this is a possible

equation to start with let this be ten look at this carefully let concentration of  $c_1 \text{ l o minus}$  the initial concentration i mean that means what you are starting with is zero point one mole that is mole

so per liter

let the concentration of  $b \text{ r minus}$   $b$  lesser than that which is two point zero times

ten to the power minus three moles per liter ok

so you set up the reaction conditions such that

these are the concentrations of the hyperchloride and bromide that you are

starting with now what you soon realize what you soon realize is that this concentration of color minus is much much greater than the concentration of b or minus in other words what we say is in other words what we say is that i write in the next page that c l o minus is in excess ok c l minus is in excess over b r minus now how much excess again that is a very valid point that is a next logical question you are going to ask you know what is the difference or what is the factor in terms of the concentration difference so let us do that so then the consideration of c l o minus over b r minus is equal to if you remember so this was zero point one mole per liter and this was two point zero times ten to the power minus three mole meter inverse ok you do the math is very easy you will see that this ratio comes to fifty fifty what does it say what it means is see it said that c l minus is in excess that means c l minus is in fifty fifty fold excess ok c l minus is 50 fold in excess then b r minus now let us see what is the effect of this so that means you let the reaction run and what you do is you plot kinetic profiles so lets now look at the kinetic profiles of the two reactants the two reactants being hyperchloride and bromide so let us look at the one first for the hypochlorite so for the hypochlorite so what we do is we say that if this is the profile of the reaction ok and suppose there are some time points out here right this is where i have taken the points ok so this is for the hypochlorite and what i have on the y axis is the concentration the molar concentration of hypochlorite ok thats what i have on the y axis now the way i am labeling my y axis and at least in terms of you know the numbers is the following that i say it is 100 times 10 to the power minus 3 okay so that is 100 times 10 to the minus 3 which is point one and here i say ninety eight times ten to the power minus three so what has happened is you are seeing that as the reaction progresses between that of hyperchloride and bromide this is the change i have for the hyperchloride and say this observation time is t n and say this observation time is t n ok now let us draw the similar kinetic profile but this time for bromide ok again what we have done is we will be taking the same experimental points right on the y axis what we have is the concentration of bromide in moles per liter remember now here what i do is i will put in the

concentration  
values

so for example bromide i start from two point zero say ten to the power minus three and see where i end at

so this out

here say is about this one zero point five minus three ok now what is the significance

of the thing or the profile that we have just drawn for the two

so the top one belongs to

that of the hyper chlorine the bottom one belongs to that of the bromide

so what are you seeing here

as we saw that the chloride the hypochlorite was 50 fold excess

so this is what you see out here

again it is hundred times the minus three and two times to the minus three

so its fifty four

excess the starting concentration hypochlorite is fifty four excess than that of bromide now

you look at bromide

so from two it has come to a very low value right say we are observing it for the same time axis t n rather same time point

so when we are talking about the consumption of the reactants we stick to this time point t n ok and at t n at this t n we are looking at how

much of bromide has been consumed and how much of hyperchloride has been consumed right

so what

do we see we see for the bromide we see for the bromide it has come down from two to very close

to zero right but look at for the hyperchloride what has happened for the hyperchloride for the

same time point it has come down from hundred to a value which is very close to hundred right

just below ninety eight ok we have been consuming the same amount of both because it is related by

the stoichiometry of the equation but something much more important has to be understood out here

what is that what you will see out here is that the bromide in this case being of limited quantity

it is almost fully consumed

so i can write the bromide ion is almost fully consumed however the hypochloride

was in such large excess that we can write the clo minus is being consumed to a very little extent and that is

so we can say then

that this concentration of c l o minus with respect to that of bromide that means with

respect to the concentration of bromide can be treated to be constant and this is what the subsequent parts of

a discussion would rely on or would be dependent upon that is when i have one reactant which

is many times excess in this case fifty fold excess then the other reactant

so in this case

hyper chloride is fifty times excess than that of bromide then the bromide is almost fully

consumed but the hyperchloride is consumed to very little extent  
 so the change is minimal  
 change for hyper chloride and hence we can say or consider the hyperchloride  
 ion concentration to  
 be constant throughout the course of the reaction because the change for  
 hyperchloride has been  
 very small but the change for bromide has been huge and this is something we  
 always have to  
 think about when we talk about these reactions where one reactant is far more  
 in excess than  
 the other reactant  $ClO^-$  or the concentration this was in excess has  
 essentially remained constant constant throughout the reaction has essentially  
 remained constant throughout the reaction now  
 if that is  
 so then what we say is that if the  $ClO^-$  has remained  
 constant then i can say that ok i can treat  $ClO^-$  even at  $t_n$  to be  
 almost close or equal to that of its initial  
 value why because it is not change at all  
 so there is no problem if i make this assumption  
 that the concentration hypochlorite having not changed that much at all then  
 is then  
 essentially equal to the concentration i started with which is the initial  
 concentration  
 right  
 so again based on the kinetic profiles what i have seen out here is that this  
 bromide  
 concentration has changed significantly by the concentration of hypochlorite  $ClO^-$   
 $ClO^-$  has almost not changed at all very very little  
 change and under these conditions we can say that the concentration of  $ClO^-$   
 essentially remain constant to the extent that we go ahead and make the  
 approximation  
 that the concentration of  $ClO^-$  is approximately equal to the initial  
 concentration  
 we started with its not a bad approximation at all now how does this help us  
 so you will soon  
 realize how it helps us  
 so let us go back to this rate expression  
 so the rate expression we  
 started with was this  $r$  is equal to  $k [ClO^-]^{\alpha} [BrO^-]^{\beta}$   
 so this was ten now  
 this can be replaced as  $r$  is equal to  $k [ClO^-]_0^{\alpha} [BrO^-]^{\beta}$   
 so i am replacing  $ClO^-$  of  $x_0$   
 because it is not changed at all it is approximate to be equal to the initial  
 concentration started  
 with  $BrO^-$   
 so let this be 11 right now once we have made this approximation once we  
 have  
 changed  $ClO^-$  concentration to  $ClO^-_0$  that means initial  
 concentration then  
 you see what happens is this term this term is effectively a constant isn't it  
 because  $ClO^-$  initial concentration  
 constant  $ClO^-$  has not changed at all approximation is because the change  
 is

so little that is equal to the initial concentration it started with times  $k$  which is the rate constant which is obviously a constant so I can rewrite this red equation or this red expression like this so remember I am having this I can rewrite it as  $r$  is equal to  $k' b r$  minus  $\bar{\beta}$  so let this be 12 where  $k'$  is equal to what  $k$  times concentration of  $c_{l0}$  minus zero result per alpha this is so number thirteen so this is the key point so you can see that you started with two reactants that means several reactants in case more than one two to start with this was a difficult proposition because we say that we have to disentangle the effects of these two as the rate depends upon both so how did we disentangle we said that ok one of the ways of doing this is to take  $c_{l0}$  minus one of the reactors which in this case is excess so fifty four excess or fifty fold 50 times rather 54 xs or 50 times more than that of  $b r$  minus once it took that what we saw is that the  $c_{l0}$  minus concentration did not change at all very little change hence in the rate law which was the proposed weight law we said that ok then this  $k$  this ah the  $c_{l0}$  minus concentration is replaced by  $c_{l0}$  minus zero right it's replaced by this zero raised to its corresponding order alpha fine having done that because this is a constant and this  $k$  is also constant we can immediately replace this by another constant which is  $k'$  prime this is  $k'$  prime and this what is this  $k'$  prime equal to  $k'$  prime is equal to  $k$  times the consideration minus initial concentration raised to the power alpha and in this original equation what we have is  $r$  is equal to  $k'$  prime times  $v$  minus to the power beta so what we done this is this essentially becomes what this essentially becomes a equation or rate equation depending only on the concentration of  $p$  or minus because the  $c_{l0}$  or minus is held constant right and having said this having said this having said the fact that this the rate is now dependent only on  $b r$  minus because this one was in huge excess we say that the kinetic contribution now mark these words having said this we say that kinetic contribution of this  $b r$  minus concentration has been isolated ok the kinetic contribution of minus has been isolated so that means I have kept the other reactant in so huge excess that now the reaction rate is depending on  $b r$  minus exclusively and that is how you have isolated

the effect of  $b$   
 $r$  minus out that you have disentangled you have separated the effect of  $b$   $r$   
 minus on the reaction  
 rate from that of hypochlorite  $c$  and minus right and this is been done how by  
 keeping  $c$  in  
 large excess  
 so only because you have kept the other reactant in large excess have you been  
 able to manage or have you been able to isolate the reaction such that it  
 depends only on one of  
 the reactants which in this case is  $b$  or minus because the other reactant was  
 in huge excess  
 this form of rate expression is something which depends only on the single  
 reactant as you can see  
 right no other reactant this is what you wanted anyway because you wanted to  
 disentangle the  
 effects i keep telling that separate out the effects and by doing this you  
 have been able  
 to do exactly what your aim was or what you started out with the goal that you  
 had started  
 out with right ok  
 so moving forward on this experimentally now i am let us move forward and  
 say that ok since  $r$  is equal to  $k$  times  $b$   $r$  minus power  $\beta$   
 so this was thirteen now  
 experimentally we will do the experiment say that we observe  $\beta$  is equal  
 to one right then we say that  $r$  is equal to  $k$   
 so this will be  
 $k$  prime sorry  $k$  prime  $b$   $r$  minus minus sorry  $b$   $r$  minus raise to the  
 power one or  $r$  is equal to  $k$  prime  $b$   $r$  minus ok  
 so once we have introduced this isolation method  
 we went ahead and did the experiment right and found out that  $\beta$  is equal to  
 one right once we  
 found out that  $\beta$  is equal to one we rewrite our rate expression which is  
 having  $k$  prime remember  
 $k$  prime is equal to  $k$  times concentration of  $c$   $t = 0$  minus the initial  
 concentration raised to the  
 power  $\alpha$  times this  $b$   $r$  minus where  $\beta$  now is known to be one  
 so under these conditions  
 what we say is that this equation we say is a pseudo order equation right is a  
 pseudo order equation and in this case because  $\beta$   
 is equal to one we say its a pseudo first order equation ok we say  
 its a pseudo first order equation why in this case  $\beta$  is equal to one now  
 hopefully you will understand where what i aimed or what i you know try to do  
 by introducing the isolation method was i introduced to you this pseudo order  
 equations or  
 pseudo first order kinetics and how was this done it was done by keeping one  
 of the concentrations  
 of the reactants of or the concentration of one of the reactants in huge  
 excess over the other one  
 so that this one did not change hence the reactant or the reaction rate was  
 uniquely dependent or  
 exclusively depend upon the other reactant which in this case is  $v$   $r$  minus  
 so from two reactants you  
 have kept one reactant in huge excess  
 so that it the rate is depending upon the other reactant

exclusively this is called the method of isolation and likewise what you have done is it is another pseudo right it is a not exactly a first order but it is a pseudo first order rate constant or a pseudo first order equation where you have obtained this by keeping the concentration the other one in huge excess now there are many reactions there are many reactions which follow these pseudo order kinetics or pseudo in this case first order kinetics and they are very common for example the acid hydrolysis of ester right ethyl acetate is an example of this what i will do is ah ok so you know before ah finishing this up ah next class i will take just a couple of examples so you know this was one way of doing it right now you can understand that ok how do we know how it depends on the hyperchloride so in that case what we say is a fine now i have taken hypochlorite in large excess now the next point is i will take the concentration of bromide bromide in much excess over that of hydrochloride say again 50 times more so under those conditions what will happen is  $r$  can be written as  $k - \alpha$  then  $b - r - \beta$  but because  $b$  or minus is enlarged axis i can say that this is equal to  $b$  or minus zero the initial concentration so then  $r$  is equal to  $k$  times  $b - r - \beta$   $c - 1 - \alpha$  so this is now a constant this is our constant i can name it as  $k'$  hence  $r$  would be  $k' - \alpha$  ok and let this p 15 ok so now you read an experiment before where the hyperchord was in excess you got the order with respect to bromide in this case again through the experiment where the bromide is in huge excess but you get the hypochlorite the reaction rate depending upon the hyperchloride exclusively again you will find in this case that  $\alpha$  is equal to one right once you find  $\alpha$  is equal to one then you immediately realize that the rate is equal to  $k$  times minus  $b - r - \beta$  and this is what you were aiming for because the two reactants were reacting together they were affecting the reactant rate together what you said was that ok i want to separate them out so in one case i took one reactant excess let the reaction it depend upon the other reactant in the second trial what i did was i took the second reactant now in excess and allowed the first reactant to define or dictate by reaction rate and hence get my final rate expression ok so this is called the isolation method and by doing the isolation method what we have also introduced you to is pseudo order equations or pseudo

in this  
case pseudo first order rate equations ok we will do more examples in the next  
class  
and then we will move on from there ok thank you you

Prutor@IITK