

With half-cell reaction: $\frac{1}{2} \text{Br}_2(\text{aq}) + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Br}^-(\text{aq})$


The standard electrode potentials are very important and we can extract a lot of useful information from them. The values of standard electrode potentials for some selected half-cell reduction reactions are given in Table 3.1. If the standard electrode potential of an electrode is greater than zero then its reduced form is more stable compared to hydrogen gas. Similarly, if the standard electrode potential is negative then hydrogen gas is more stable than the reduced form of the species. It can be seen that the standard electrode potential for fluorine is the highest in the Table indicating that fluorine gas (F_2) has the maximum tendency to get reduced to fluoride ions (F^-) and therefore fluorine gas is the strongest oxidising agent and fluoride ion is the weakest reducing agent. Lithium has the lowest electrode potential indicating that lithium ion is the weakest oxidising agent while lithium metal is the most powerful reducing agent in an aqueous solution. It may be seen that as we go from top to bottom in Table 3.1 the standard electrode potential decreases and with this, decreases the oxidising power of the species on the left and increases the reducing power of the species on the right hand side of the reaction. Electrochemical cells are extensively used for determining the pH of solutions, solubility product, equilibrium constant and other thermodynamic properties and for potentiometric titrations.

Intext Questions

- 3.1 How would you determine the standard electrode potential of the system $\text{Mg}^{2+} | \text{Mg}$?
- 3.2 Can you store copper sulphate solutions in a zinc pot?
- 3.3 Consult the table of standard electrode potentials and suggest three substances that can oxidise ferrous ions under suitable conditions.

3.3 Nernst Equation

We have assumed in the previous section that the concentration of all the species involved in the electrode reaction is unity. This need not be always true. Nernst showed that for the electrode reaction:



the electrode potential at any concentration measured with respect to standard hydrogen electrode can be represented by:

$$E_{(\text{M}^{n+}/\text{M})} = E_{(\text{M}^{n+}/\text{M})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{[\text{M}]}{[\text{M}^{n+}]}$$

but concentration of solid M is taken as unity and we have

$$E_{(\text{M}^{n+}/\text{M})} = E_{(\text{M}^{n+}/\text{M})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{1}{[\text{M}^{n+}]} \quad (3.8)$$

$E_{(\text{M}^{n+}/\text{M})}^{\ominus}$ has already been defined, R is gas constant ($8.314 \text{ JK}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$), F is Faraday constant (96487 C mol^{-1}), T is temperature in kelvin and $[\text{M}^{n+}]$ is the concentration of the species, M^{n+} .

Table 3.1: Standard Electrode Potentials at 298 K

Ions are present as aqueous species and H₂O as liquid; gases and solids are shown by g and s.

Reaction (Oxidised form + ne ⁻ → Reduced form)	E ^o / V
F ₂ (g) + 2e ⁻ → 2F ⁻	2.87
Co ³⁺ + e ⁻ → Co ²⁺	1.81
H ₂ O ₂ + 2H ⁺ + 2e ⁻ → 2H ₂ O	1.78
MnO ₄ ⁻ + 8H ⁺ + 5e ⁻ → Mn ²⁺ + 4H ₂ O	1.51
Au ³⁺ + 3e ⁻ → Au(s)	1.40
Cl ₂ (g) + 2e ⁻ → 2Cl ⁻	1.36
Cr ₂ O ₇ ²⁻ + 14H ⁺ + 6e ⁻ → 2Cr ³⁺ + 7H ₂ O	1.33
O ₂ (g) + 4H ⁺ + 4e ⁻ → 2H ₂ O	1.23
MnO ₂ (s) + 4H ⁺ + 2e ⁻ → Mn ²⁺ + 2H ₂ O	1.23
Br ₂ + 2e ⁻ → 2Br ⁻	1.09
NO ₃ ⁻ + 4H ⁺ + 3e ⁻ → NO(g) + 2H ₂ O	0.97
2Hg ²⁺ + 2e ⁻ → Hg ₂ ²⁺	0.92
Ag ⁺ + e ⁻ → Ag(s)	0.80
Fe ³⁺ + e ⁻ → Fe ²⁺	0.77
O ₂ (g) + 2H ⁺ + 2e ⁻ → H ₂ O ₂	0.68
I ₂ + 2e ⁻ → 2I ⁻	0.54
Cu ⁺ + e ⁻ → Cu(s)	0.52
Cu ²⁺ + 2e ⁻ → Cu(s)	0.34
AgCl(s) + e ⁻ → Ag(s) + Cl ⁻	0.22
AgBr(s) + e ⁻ → Ag(s) + Br ⁻	0.10
2H⁺ + 2e⁻ → H₂(g)	0.00
Pb ²⁺ + 2e ⁻ → Pb(s)	-0.13
Sn ²⁺ + 2e ⁻ → Sn(s)	-0.14
Ni ²⁺ + 2e ⁻ → Ni(s)	-0.25
Fe ²⁺ + 2e ⁻ → Fe(s)	-0.44
Cr ³⁺ + 3e ⁻ → Cr(s)	-0.74
Zn ²⁺ + 2e ⁻ → Zn(s)	-0.76
2H ₂ O + 2e ⁻ → H ₂ (g) + 2OH ⁻ (aq)	-0.83
Al ³⁺ + 3e ⁻ → Al(s)	-1.66
Mg ²⁺ + 2e ⁻ → Mg(s)	-2.36
Na ⁺ + e ⁻ → Na(s)	-2.71
Ca ²⁺ + 2e ⁻ → Ca(s)	-2.87
K ⁺ + e ⁻ → K(s)	-2.93
Li ⁺ + e ⁻ → Li(s)	-3.05

1. A negative E^o means that the redox couple is a stronger reducing agent than the H⁺/H₂ couple.
2. A positive E^o means that the redox couple is a weaker reducing agent than the H⁺/H₂ couple.

In Daniell cell, the electrode potential for any given concentration of Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions, we write

For Cathode:

$$E_{(\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu})} = E_{(\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{1}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq})]} \quad (3.9)$$

For Anode:

$$E_{(\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn})} = E_{(\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{1}{[\text{Zn}^{2+}(\text{aq})]} \quad (3.10)$$

The cell potential, $E_{(\text{cell})} = E_{(\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu})} - E_{(\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn})}$

$$= E_{(\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{1}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq})]} - E_{(\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn})}^{\ominus} + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{1}{[\text{Zn}^{2+}(\text{aq})]}$$

$$= E_{(\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Cu})}^{\ominus} - E_{(\text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{Zn})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{1}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq})]} - \ln \frac{1}{[\text{Zn}^{2+}(\text{aq})]}$$


$$E_{(\text{cell})} = E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{[\text{Zn}^{2+}]}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}]} \quad (3.11)$$

It can be seen that $E_{(\text{cell})}$ depends on the concentration of both Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions. It increases with increase in the concentration of Cu^{2+} ions and decrease in the concentration of Zn^{2+} ions.

By converting the natural logarithm in Eq. (3.11) to the base 10 and substituting the values of R , F and $T = 298 \text{ K}$, it reduces to

$$E_{(\text{cell})} = E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} - \frac{0.059}{2} \log \frac{[\text{Zn}^{2+}]}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}]} \quad (3.12)$$

We should use the same number of electrons (n) for both the electrodes and thus for the following cell




The cell reaction is $\text{Ni(s)} + 2\text{Ag}^{+}(\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 2\text{Ag(s)}$

The **Nernst equation** can be written as

$$E_{(\text{cell})} = E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{[\text{Ni}^{2+}]}{[\text{Ag}^{+}]^2}$$

and for a general electrochemical reaction of the type:




Nernst equation can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{(\text{cell})} &= E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln Q \\ &= E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{[\text{C}]^c [\text{D}]^d}{[\text{A}]^a [\text{B}]^b} \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Represent the cell in which the following reaction takes place

Example 3.1



Calculate its $E_{(\text{cell})}$ if $E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} = 3.17 \text{ V}$.

The cell can be written as $\text{Mg} | \text{Mg}^{2+}(0.130\text{M}) || \text{Ag}^+(0.0001\text{M}) | \text{Ag}$ *Solution*

$$\begin{aligned} E_{(\text{cell})} &= E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \frac{[\text{Mg}^{2+}]}{[\text{Ag}^+]^2} \\ &= 3.17 \text{ V} - \frac{0.059\text{V}}{2} \log \frac{0.130}{(0.0001)^2} = 3.17 \text{ V} - 0.21\text{V} = 2.96 \text{ V}. \end{aligned}$$

3.3.1 Equilibrium Constant from Nernst Equation

If the circuit in Daniell cell (Fig. 3.1) is closed then we note that the reaction



takes place and as time passes, the concentration of Zn^{2+} keeps on increasing while the concentration of Cu^{2+} keeps on decreasing. At the same time voltage of the cell as read on the voltmeter keeps on decreasing. After some time, we shall note that there is no change in the concentration of Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions and at the same time, voltmeter gives zero reading. This indicates that equilibrium has been attained. In this situation the Nernst equation may be written as:

$$E_{(\text{cell})} = 0 = E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} - \frac{2.303RT}{2F} \log \frac{[\text{Zn}^{2+}]}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}]}$$

$$\text{or } E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} = \frac{2.303RT}{2F} \log \frac{[\text{Zn}^{2+}]}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}]}$$

But at equilibrium,

$$\frac{[\text{Zn}^{2+}]}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}]} = K_c \text{ for the reaction 3.1}$$

and at $T = 298\text{K}$ the above equation can be written as

$$E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} = \frac{0.059 \text{ V}}{2} \log K_c = 1.1 \text{ V} \quad (E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} = 1.1\text{V})$$

$$\log K_c = \frac{(1.1\text{V} \times 2)}{0.059 \text{ V}} = 37.288$$


$$K_c = 2 \times 10^{37} \text{ at } 298\text{K}.$$

In general,

$$E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} = \frac{2.303RT}{nF} \log K_c \quad (3.14)$$

Thus, Eq. (3.14) gives a relationship between equilibrium constant of the reaction and standard potential of the cell in which that reaction takes place. Thus, equilibrium constants of the reaction, difficult to measure otherwise, can be calculated from the corresponding E^{\ominus} value of the cell.

Example 3.2 Calculate the equilibrium constant of the reaction:



$$E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} = 0.46 \text{ V}$$

Solution $E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} = \frac{0.059 \text{ V}}{2} \log K_C = 0.46 \text{ V}$ or

$$\log K_C = \frac{0.46 \text{ V} \times 2}{0.059 \text{ V}} = 15.6$$


$$K_C = 3.92 \times 10^{15}$$

3.3.2 Electro-chemical Cell and Gibbs Energy of the Reaction

Electrical work done in one second is equal to electrical potential multiplied by total charge passed. If we want to obtain maximum work from a galvanic cell then charge has to be passed reversibly. The reversible work done by a galvanic cell is equal to decrease in its Gibbs energy and therefore, if the emf of the cell is E and nF is the amount of charge passed and $\Delta_r G$ is the Gibbs energy of the reaction, then

$$\Delta_r G = -nFE_{(\text{cell})} \quad (3.15)$$

It may be remembered that $E_{(\text{cell})}$ is an intensive parameter but $\Delta_r G$ is an extensive thermodynamic property and the value depends on n . Thus, if we write the reaction



$$\Delta_r G = -2FE_{(\text{cell})}$$

but when we write the reaction



$$\Delta_r G = -4FE_{(\text{cell})}$$

If the concentration of all the reacting species is unity, then


$$E_{(\text{cell})} = E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} \text{ and we have}$$

$$\Delta_r G^{\ominus} = -nFE_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} \quad (3.16)$$

Thus, from the measurement of $E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus}$ we can obtain an important thermodynamic quantity, $\Delta_r G^{\ominus}$, standard Gibbs energy of the reaction. From the latter we can calculate equilibrium constant by the equation:

$$\Delta_r G^{\ominus} = -RT \ln K.$$

Example 3.3 The standard electrode potential for Daniell cell is 1.1V. Calculate the standard Gibbs energy for the reaction:



Solution $\Delta_r G^{\ominus} = -nFE_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus}$

n in the above equation is 2, $F = 96487 \text{ C mol}^{-1}$ and $E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} = 1.1 \text{ V}$

$$\text{Therefore, } \Delta_r G^{\ominus} = -2 \times 1.1\text{V} \times 96487 \text{ C mol}^{-1}$$

$$= -21227 \text{ J mol}^{-1}$$

$$= -212.27 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

3.4 Calculate the potential of hydrogen electrode in contact with a solution whose pH is 10.

3.5 Calculate the emf of the cell in which the following reaction takes place:
 $\text{Ni(s)} + 2\text{Ag}^+ (0.002 \text{ M}) \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{2+} (0.160 \text{ M}) + 2\text{Ag(s)}$

Given that $E_{(\text{cell})}^{\ominus} = 1.05 \text{ V}$

3.6 The cell in which the following reaction occurs:

$2\text{Fe}^{3+} (\text{aq}) + 2\text{I}^{-} (\text{aq}) \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{2+} (\text{aq}) + \text{I}_2 (\text{s})$ has $E_{\text{cell}}^{\ominus} = 0.236 \text{ V}$ at 298 K.

Calculate the standard Gibbs energy and the equilibrium constant of the cell reaction.

3.4 Conductance of Electrolytic Solutions

It is necessary to define a few terms before we consider the subject of conductance of electricity through electrolytic solutions. The electrical resistance is represented by the symbol 'R' and it is measured in ohm (Ω) which in terms of SI base units is equal to $(\text{kg m}^2)/(\text{S}^3 \text{ A}^2)$. It can be measured with the help of a **Wheatstone bridge** with which you are familiar from your study of physics. The electrical resistance of any object is directly proportional to its length, l , and inversely proportional to its area of cross section, A . That is,

$$R \propto \frac{l}{A} \text{ or } R = \rho \frac{l}{A} \quad (3.17)$$

The constant of proportionality, ρ (Greek, rho), is called **resistivity** (specific resistance). Its SI units are ohm metre ($\Omega \text{ m}$) and quite often its submultiple, ohm centimetre ($\Omega \text{ cm}$) is also used. IUPAC recommends the use of the term resistivity over specific resistance and hence in the rest of the book we shall use the term resistivity. Physically, the resistivity for a substance is its resistance when it is one metre long and its area of cross section is one m^2 . It can be seen that:

$$1 \Omega \text{ m} = 100 \Omega \text{ cm} \text{ or } 1 \Omega \text{ cm} = 0.01 \Omega \text{ m}$$

The inverse of resistance, R , is called **conductance**, G , and we have the relation:

$$G = \frac{1}{R} = \frac{A}{\rho l} = \kappa \frac{A}{l} \quad (3.18)$$

The SI unit of conductance is siemens, represented by the symbol 'S' and is equal to ohm^{-1} (also known as mho) or Ω^{-1} . The inverse of resistivity, called **conductivity** (specific conductance) is represented by the symbol, κ (Greek, kappa). IUPAC has recommended the use of term conductivity over specific conductance and hence we shall use the term conductivity in the rest of the book. The SI units of conductivity are S m^{-1} but quite often, κ is expressed in S cm^{-1} . Conductivity of a material in S m^{-1} is its conductance when it is 1 m long and its area of cross section is 1 m^2 . It may be noted that $1 \text{ S cm}^{-1} = 100 \text{ S m}^{-1}$.

Table 3.2: The values of Conductivity of some Selected Materials at 298.15 K

Material	Conductivity/ S m ⁻¹	Material	Conductivity/ S m ⁻¹
Conductors		Aqueous Solutions	
Sodium	2.1×10 ³	Pure water	3.5×10 ⁻⁵
Copper	5.9×10 ³	0.1 M HCl	3.91
Silver	6.2×10 ³	0.01M KCl	0.14
Gold	4.5×10 ³	0.01M NaCl	0.12
Iron	1.0×10 ³	0.1 M HAc	0.047
Graphite	1.2×10	0.01M HAc	0.016
Insulators		Semiconductors	
Glass	1.0×10 ⁻¹⁶	CuO	1×10 ⁻⁷
Teflon	1.0×10 ⁻¹⁸	Si	1.5×10 ⁻²
		Ge	2.0

It can be seen from Table 3.2 that the magnitude of conductivity varies a great deal and depends on the nature of the material. It also depends on the temperature and pressure at which the measurements are made. Materials are classified into conductors, insulators and semiconductors depending on the magnitude of their conductivity. Metals and their alloys have very large conductivity and are known as conductors. Certain non-metals like carbon-black, graphite and some organic polymers* are also electronically conducting. Substances like glass, ceramics, etc., having very low conductivity are known as insulators. Substances like silicon, doped silicon and gallium arsenide having conductivity between conductors and insulators are called semiconductors and are important electronic materials. Certain materials called superconductors by definition have zero resistivity or infinite conductivity. Earlier, only metals and their alloys at very low temperatures (0 to 15 K) were known to behave as superconductors, but nowadays a number of ceramic materials and mixed oxides are also known to show superconductivity at temperatures as high as 150 K.

Electrical conductance through metals is called metallic or electronic conductance and is due to the movement of electrons. The electronic conductance depends on

- (i) the nature and structure of the metal
- (ii) the number of valence electrons per atom
- (iii) temperature (it decreases with increase of temperature).

* Electronically conducting polymers – In 1977 MacDiarmid, Heeger and Shirakawa discovered that acetylene gas can be polymerised to produce a polymer, polyacetylene when exposed to vapours of iodine acquires metallic lustre and conductivity. Since then several organic conducting polymers have been made such as polyaniline, polypyrrole and polythiophene. These organic polymers which have properties like metals, being composed wholly of elements like carbon, hydrogen and occasionally nitrogen, oxygen or sulphur, are much lighter than normal metals and can be used for making light-weight batteries. Besides, they have the mechanical properties of polymers such as flexibility so that one can make electronic devices such as transistors that can bend like a sheet of plastic. For the discovery of conducting polymers, MacDiarmid, Heeger and Shirakawa were awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry for the year 2000.

As the electrons enter at one end and go out through the other end, the composition of the metallic conductor remains unchanged. The mechanism of conductance through semiconductors is more complex.

We already know (Class XI, Unit 7) that even very pure water has small amounts of hydrogen and hydroxyl ions ($\sim 10^{-7}M$) which lend it very low conductivity ($3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S m}^{-1}$). When electrolytes are dissolved in water, they furnish their own ions in the solution hence its conductivity also increases. The conductance of electricity by ions present in the solutions is called electrolytic or **ionic conductance**. The conductivity of electrolytic (ionic) solutions depends on:

- (i) the nature of the electrolyte added
- (ii) size of the ions produced and their solvation
- (iii) the nature of the solvent and its viscosity
- (iv) concentration of the electrolyte
- (v) temperature (it increases with the increase of temperature).

Passage of direct current through ionic solution over a prolonged period can lead to change in its composition due to electrochemical reactions (Section 3.4.1).

3.4.1 Measurement of the Conductivity of Ionic Solutions

We know that accurate measurement of an unknown resistance can be performed on a Wheatstone bridge. However, for measuring the resistance of an ionic solution we face two problems. Firstly, passing direct current (DC) changes the composition of the solution. Secondly, a solution cannot be connected to the bridge like a metallic wire or other solid conductor. The first difficulty is resolved by using an alternating current (AC) source of power. The second problem is solved by using a specially designed vessel called **conductivity cell**. It is available in several designs and two simple ones are shown in Fig. 3.4.




Fig. 3.4
Two different types of conductivity cells.

Basically it consists of two platinum electrodes coated with platinum black (finely divided metallic Pt is deposited on the electrodes electrochemically). These have area of cross section equal to 'A' and are separated by distance 'l'. Therefore, solution confined between these electrodes is a column of length l and area of cross section A. The resistance of such a column of solution is then given by the equation:

$$R = \rho \frac{l}{A} = \frac{l}{\kappa A} \quad (3.17)$$

The quantity l/A is called cell constant denoted by the symbol, G^* . It depends on the distance between the electrodes and their area of cross-section and has the dimension of length^{-1} and can be calculated if we know l and A . Measurement of l and A is not only inconvenient but also unreliable. The cell constant is usually determined by measuring the resistance of the cell containing a solution whose conductivity is already known. For this purpose, we generally use KCl solutions whose conductivity is known accurately at various concentrations (Table 3.3) and at different temperatures. The cell constant, G^* , is then given by the equation:

$$G^* = \frac{l}{A} = R \kappa \quad (3.18)$$

Table 3.3: Conductivity and Molar conductivity of KCl solutions at 298.15K

Concentration/Molarity		Conductivity		Molar Conductivity	
mol L ⁻¹	mol m ⁻³	S cm ⁻¹	S m ⁻¹	S cm ² mol ⁻¹	S m ² mol ⁻¹
1.000	1000	0.1113	11.13	111.3	111.3×10 ⁻⁴
0.100	100.0	0.0129	1.29	129.0	129.0×10 ⁻⁴
0.010	10.00	0.00141	0.141	141.0	141.0×10 ⁻⁴




Fig. 3.5: Arrangement for measurement of resistance of a solution of an electrolyte.

Once the cell constant is determined, we can use it for measuring the resistance or conductivity of any solution. The set up for the measurement of the resistance is shown in Fig. 3.5.

It consists of two resistances R_3 and R_4 , a variable resistance R_1 and the conductivity cell having the unknown resistance R_2 . The **Wheatstone bridge** is fed by an oscillator O (a source of a.c. power in the audio frequency range 550 to 5000 cycles per second). P is a suitable detector (a headphone or other electronic device) and the bridge is balanced when no current passes through the detector. Under these conditions:

$$\text{Unknown resistance } R_2 = \frac{R_1 R_4}{R_3} \quad (3.19)$$

These days, inexpensive conductivity meters are available which can directly read the conductance or resistance of the solution in the conductivity cell. Once the cell constant and the resistance of the solution in the cell is determined, the conductivity of the solution is given by the equation:

$$\kappa = \frac{\text{cell constant}}{R} = \frac{G^*}{R} \quad (3.20)$$

The conductivity of solutions of different electrolytes in the same solvent and at a given temperature differs due to charge and size of the

ions in which they dissociate, the concentration of ions or ease with which the ions move under a potential gradient. It, therefore, becomes necessary to define a physically more meaningful quantity called **molar conductivity** denoted by the symbol Λ_m (Greek, lambda). It is related to the conductivity of the solution by the equation:

$$\text{Molar conductivity} = \Lambda_m = \frac{\kappa}{c} \quad (3.21)$$

In the above equation, if κ is expressed in S m^{-1} and the concentration, c in mol m^{-3} then the units of Λ_m are in $\text{S m}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}$. It may be noted that: $1 \text{ mol m}^{-3} = 1000(\text{L/m}^3) \times \text{molarity (mol/L)}$, and hence

$$\Lambda_m (\text{S cm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}) = \frac{\kappa (\text{S cm}^{-1})}{1000 \text{ L m}^{-3} \times \text{molarity (mol L}^{-1}\text{)}}$$

If we use S cm^{-1} as the units for κ and mol cm^{-3} , the units of concentration, then the units for Λ_m are $\text{S cm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}$. It can be calculated by using the equation:

$$\Lambda_m (\text{S cm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}) = \frac{\kappa (\text{S cm}^{-1}) \times 1000 (\text{cm}^3 / \text{L})}{\text{molarity (mol / L)}}$$

Both type of units are used in literature and are related to each other by the equations:

$$1 \text{ S m}^2 \text{mol}^{-1} = 10^4 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ or}$$

$$1 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1} = 10^{-4} \text{ S m}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}$$

Resistance of a conductivity cell filled with 0.1 mol L^{-1} KCl solution is 100Ω . If the resistance of the same cell when filled with 0.02 mol L^{-1} KCl solution is 520Ω , calculate the conductivity and molar conductivity of 0.02 mol L^{-1} KCl solution. The conductivity of 0.1 mol L^{-1} KCl solution is 1.29 S/m .

Example 3.4

The cell constant is given by the equation:

$$\text{Cell constant} = G^* = \text{conductivity} \times \text{resistance}$$

$$= 1.29 \text{ S/m} \times 100 \Omega = 129 \text{ m}^{-1} = 1.29 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

Conductivity of 0.02 mol L^{-1} KCl solution = cell constant / resistance

$$= \frac{G^*}{R} = \frac{129 \text{ m}^{-1}}{520 \Omega} = 0.248 \text{ S m}^{-1}$$

$$\text{Concentration} = 0.02 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$$

$$= 1000 \times 0.02 \text{ mol m}^{-3} = 20 \text{ mol m}^{-3}$$

$$\text{Molar conductivity} = \Lambda_m = \frac{\kappa}{c}$$

$$= \frac{248 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S m}^{-1}}{20 \text{ mol m}^{-3}} = 124 \times 10^{-4} \text{ S m}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}$$

$$\text{Alternatively, } \kappa = \frac{1.29 \text{ cm}^{-1}}{520 \Omega} = 0.248 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$$

Solution

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and} \quad \Lambda_m &= \kappa \times 1000 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ molarity}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{0.248 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \times 1000 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ L}^{-1}}{0.02 \text{ mol L}^{-1}} \\ &= 124 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.5 The electrical resistance of a column of 0.05 mol L^{-1} NaOH solution of diameter 1 cm and length 50 cm is $5.55 \times 10^3 \text{ ohm}$. Calculate its resistivity, conductivity and molar conductivity.

Solution $A = \pi r^2 = 3.14 \times 0.5^2 \text{ cm}^2 = 0.785 \text{ cm}^2 = 0.785 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2$
 $l = 50 \text{ cm} = 0.5 \text{ m}$

$$R = \frac{\rho l}{A} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho = \frac{RA}{l} = \frac{5.55 \times 10^3 \Omega \times 0.785 \text{ cm}^2}{50 \text{ cm}} = 87.135 \Omega \text{ cm}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Conductivity} = \kappa &= \frac{1}{\rho} = \left(\frac{1}{87.135} \right) \text{ S cm}^{-1} \\ &= 0.01148 \text{ S cm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Molar conductivity, } \Lambda_m &= \frac{\kappa \times 1000}{c} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ L}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{0.01148 \text{ S cm}^{-1} \times 1000 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ L}^{-1}}{0.05 \text{ mol L}^{-1}} \\ &= 229.6 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

If we want to calculate the values of different quantities in terms of 'm' instead of 'cm',

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{RA}{l} \\ &= \frac{5.55 \times 10^3 \Omega \times 0.785 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2}{0.5 \text{ m}} = 87.135 \times 10^{-2} \Omega \text{ m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{100}{87.135} \Omega \text{ m} = 1.148 \text{ S m}^{-1}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \Lambda_m = \frac{\kappa}{c} = \frac{1.148 \text{ S m}^{-1}}{50 \text{ mol m}^{-3}} = 229.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ S m}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}.$$

3.4.2 Variation of Conductivity and Molar Conductivity with Concentration

Both conductivity and molar conductivity change with the concentration of the electrolyte. Conductivity always decreases with decrease in concentration both, for weak and strong electrolytes. This can be explained by the fact that the number of ions per unit volume that carry the current in a solution decreases on dilution. The conductivity of a solution at any given concentration is the conductance of one unit volume of solution kept between two

platinum electrodes with unit area of cross section and at a distance of unit length. This is clear from the equation:

$G = \frac{\kappa A}{l} = \kappa$ (both A and l are unity in their appropriate units in m or cm)

Molar conductivity of a solution at a given concentration is the conductance of the volume V of solution containing one mole of electrolyte kept between two electrodes with area of cross section A and distance of unit length. Therefore,

$$\Lambda_m = \frac{\kappa A}{l} = \kappa$$

Since $l = 1$ and $A = V$ (volume containing 1 mole of electrolyte)

$$\Lambda_m = \kappa V \quad (3.22)$$




Fig. 3.6: Molar conductivity versus $c^{1/2}$ for acetic acid (weak electrolyte) and potassium chloride (strong electrolyte) in aqueous solutions.

Molar conductivity increases with decrease in concentration. This is because the total volume, V , of solution containing one mole of electrolyte also increases. It has been found that decrease in κ on dilution of a solution is more than compensated by increase in its volume. Physically, it means that at a given concentration, Λ_m can be defined as the conductance of the electrolytic solution kept between the electrodes of a conductivity cell at unit distance but having area of cross section large enough to accommodate sufficient volume of solution that contains one mole of the electrolyte. When concentration approaches zero, the molar conductivity is known as **limiting molar conductivity** and is represented by the symbol Λ_m° . The variation in Λ_m with concentration is different (Fig. 3.6) for strong and weak electrolytes.

Strong Electrolytes

For strong electrolytes, Λ_m increases slowly with dilution and can be represented by the equation:

$$\Lambda_m = \Lambda_m^\circ - A c^{1/2} \quad (3.23)$$

It can be seen that if we plot (Fig. 3.6) Λ_m against $c^{1/2}$, we obtain a straight line with intercept equal to Λ_m° and slope equal to $-A$. The value of the constant $'A'$ for a given solvent and temperature depends on the type of electrolyte i.e., the charges on the cation and anion produced on the dissociation of the electrolyte in the solution. Thus, NaCl , CaCl_2 , MgSO_4 are known as 1-1, 2-1 and 2-2 electrolytes respectively. All electrolytes of a particular type have the same value for $'A'$.

Example 3.6 The molar conductivity of KCl solutions at different concentrations at 298 K are given below:

$c/\text{mol L}^{-1}$	$\Lambda_m/\text{S cm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}$
0.000198	148.61
0.000309	148.29
0.000521	147.81
0.000989	147.09

Show that a plot between Λ_m and $c^{1/2}$ is a straight line. Determine the values of Λ_m° and A for KCl.

Solution Taking the square root of concentration we obtain:

$c^{1/2}/(\text{mol L}^{-1})^{1/2}$	$\Lambda_m/\text{S cm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}$
0.01407	148.61
0.01758	148.29
0.02283	147.81
0.03145	147.09

A plot of Λ_m (y-axis) and $c^{1/2}$ (x-axis) is shown in (Fig. 3.7).

It can be seen that it is nearly a straight line. From the intercept ($c^{1/2} = 0$), we find that

$$\Lambda_m^\circ = 150.0 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ and}$$

$$A = -\text{slope} = 87.46 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}/(\text{mol/L})^{1/2}.$$




Fig. 3.7: Variation of Λ_m against $c^{1/2}$.

Kohlrausch examined Λ_m° values for a number of strong electrolytes and observed certain regularities. He noted that the difference in Λ_m° of the electrolytes NaX and KX for any X is nearly constant. For example at 298 K:

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_m^\circ(\text{KCl}) - \Lambda_m^\circ(\text{NaCl}) &= \Lambda_m^\circ(\text{KBr}) - \Lambda_m^\circ(\text{NaBr}) \\ &= \Lambda_m^\circ(\text{Kl}) - \Lambda_m^\circ(\text{Nal}) \approx 23.4 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

and similarly it was found that

$$\Lambda_m^\circ(\text{NaBr}) - \Lambda_m^\circ(\text{NaCl}) = \Lambda_m^\circ(\text{KBr}) - \Lambda_m^\circ(\text{KCl}) \approx 1.8 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

On the basis of the above observations he enunciated **Kohlrausch law of independent migration of ions**. The law states that *limiting molar conductivity of an electrolyte can be represented as the sum of the individual contributions of the anion and cation of the electrolyte. Thus, if $\lambda_{\text{Na}^+}^\circ$ and $\lambda_{\text{Cl}^-}^\circ$ are limiting molar conductivity of the sodium and chloride ions respectively, then the limiting molar conductivity for sodium chloride is given by the equation:*

$$\Lambda_m^\circ(\text{NaCl}) = \lambda_{\text{Na}^+}^\circ + \lambda_{\text{Cl}^-}^\circ \quad (3.24)$$

In general, if an electrolyte on dissociation gives ν_+ cations and ν_- anions then its limiting molar conductivity is given by:

$$\Lambda_m^\circ = \nu_+ \lambda_+^\circ + \nu_- \lambda_-^\circ \quad (3.25)$$

Here, λ_+° and λ_-° are the limiting molar conductivities of the cation and anion respectively. The values of λ° for some cations and anions at 298 K are given in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4: Limiting Molar Conductivity for some Ions in Water at 298 K

Ion	$\lambda^\circ/(\text{S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1})$	Ion	$\lambda^\circ/(\text{S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1})$
H ⁺	349.6	OH ⁻	199.1
Na ⁺	50.1	Cl ⁻	76.3
K ⁺	73.5	Br ⁻	78.1
Ca ²⁺	119.0	CH ₃ COO ⁻	40.9
Mg ²⁺	106.0	SO ₄ ²⁻	160.0

Weak Electrolytes

Weak electrolytes like acetic acid have lower degree of dissociation at higher concentrations and hence for such electrolytes, the change in Λ_m with dilution is due to increase in the degree of dissociation and consequently the number of ions in total volume of solution that contains 1 mol of electrolyte. In such cases Λ_m increases steeply (Fig. 3.6) on dilution, especially near lower concentrations. Therefore, Λ_m° cannot be obtained by extrapolation of Λ_m to zero concentration. At infinite dilution (i.e., concentration $c \rightarrow$ zero) electrolyte dissociates completely ($\alpha = 1$), but at such low concentration the conductivity of the solution is so low that it cannot be measured accurately. Therefore, Λ_m° for weak electrolytes is obtained by using Kohlrausch law of independent migration of ions (Example 3.8). At any concentration c , if α is the degree of dissociation

then it can be approximated to the ratio of molar conductivity Λ_m at the concentration c to limiting molar conductivity, Λ_m^0 . Thus we have:

$$\alpha = \frac{\Lambda_m}{\Lambda_m^0} \quad (3.26)$$

But we know that for a weak electrolyte like acetic acid (Class XI, Unit 7),

$$K_a = \frac{c\alpha^2}{(1-\alpha)} = \frac{c\Lambda_m^2}{\Lambda_m^{0,2} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda_m}{\Lambda_m^0}\right)} = \frac{c\Lambda_m^2}{\Lambda_m^0 (\Lambda_m^0 - \Lambda_m)} \quad (3.27)$$

Applications of Kohlrausch law

Using Kohlrausch law of independent migration of ions, it is possible to calculate Λ_m^0 for any electrolyte from the λ^0 of individual ions. Moreover, for weak electrolytes like acetic acid it is possible to determine the value of its dissociation constant once we know the Λ_m^0 and Λ_m at a given concentration c .

Example 3.7 Calculate Λ_m^0 for CaCl_2 and MgSO_4 from the data given in Table 3.4.

Solution We know from Kohlrausch law that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{m(\text{CaCl}_2)}^0 &= \lambda_{\text{Ca}^{2+}}^0 + 2\lambda_{\text{Cl}^-}^0 = 119.0 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} + 2(76.3) \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} \\ &= (119.0 + 152.6) \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} \\ &= 271.6 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{m(\text{MgSO}_4)}^0 &= \lambda_{\text{Mg}^{2+}}^0 + \lambda_{\text{SO}_4^{2-}}^0 = 106.0 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} + 160.0 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} \\ &= 266 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} . \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.8 Λ_m^0 for NaCl , HCl and NaAc are 126.4, 425.9 and 91.0 $\text{S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ respectively. Calculate Λ^0 for HAc .

Solution

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{m(\text{HAc})}^0 &= \lambda_{\text{H}^+}^0 + \lambda_{\text{Ac}^-}^0 = \lambda_{\text{H}^+}^0 + \lambda_{\text{Cl}^-}^0 + \lambda_{\text{Ac}^-}^0 + \lambda_{\text{Na}^+}^0 - \lambda_{\text{Cl}^-}^0 - \lambda_{\text{Na}^+}^0 \\ &= \Lambda_{m(\text{HCl})}^0 + \Lambda_{m(\text{NaAc})}^0 - \Lambda_{m(\text{NaCl})}^0 \\ &= (425.9 + 91.0 - 126.4) \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} \\ &= 390.5 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} . \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.9 The conductivity of $0.001028 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ acetic acid is $4.95 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$. Calculate its dissociation constant if Λ_m^0 for acetic acid is $390.5 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$.

Solution

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_m &= \frac{\kappa}{c} = \frac{4.95 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}}{0.001028 \text{ mol L}^{-1}} \times \frac{1000 \text{ cm}^3}{\text{L}} = 48.15 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} \\ \alpha &= \frac{\Lambda_m}{\Lambda_m^0} = \frac{48.15 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}}{390.5 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}} = 0.1233 \\ k &= \frac{c\alpha^2}{(1-\alpha)} = \frac{0.001028 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \times (0.1233)^2}{1-0.1233} = 1.78 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

- 3.7** Why does the conductivity of a solution decrease with dilution?
- 3.8** Suggest a way to determine the Λ_m° value of water.
- 3.9** The molar conductivity of 0.025 mol L^{-1} methanoic acid is $46.1 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$. Calculate its degree of dissociation and dissociation constant. Given $\lambda^0(\text{H}^+) = 349.6 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ and $\lambda^0(\text{HCOO}^-) = 54.6 \text{ S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$.

3.5 Electrolytic Cells and Electrolysis

In an **electrolytic cell** external source of voltage is used to bring about a chemical reaction. The electrochemical processes are of great importance in the laboratory and the chemical industry. One of the simplest electrolytic cell consists of two copper strips dipping in an aqueous solution of copper sulphate. If a DC voltage is applied to the two electrodes, then Cu^{2+} ions discharge at the cathode (negatively charged) and the following reaction takes place:



Copper metal is deposited on the cathode. At the anode, copper is converted into Cu^{2+} ions by the reaction:



Thus copper is dissolved (oxidised) at anode and deposited (reduced) at cathode. This is the basis for an industrial process in which impure copper is converted into copper of high purity. The impure copper is made an anode that dissolves on passing current and pure copper is deposited at the cathode. Many metals like Na, Mg, Al, etc. are produced on large scale by electrochemical reduction of their respective cations where no suitable chemical reducing agents are available for this purpose.

Sodium and magnesium metals are produced by the electrolysis of their fused chlorides and aluminium is produced (Class XII, Unit 6) by electrolysis of aluminium oxide in presence of cryolite.

Quantitative Aspects of Electrolysis

Michael Faraday was the first scientist who described the quantitative aspects of electrolysis. Now Faraday's laws also flow from what has been discussed earlier.

Faraday's Laws of Electrolysis

After his extensive investigations on electrolysis of solutions and melts of electrolytes, Faraday published his results during 1833-34 in the form of the following well known Faraday's two laws of electrolysis:

- (i) *First Law:* The amount of chemical reaction which occurs at any electrode during electrolysis by a current is proportional to the quantity of electricity passed through the electrolyte (solution or melt).
- (ii) *Second Law:* The amounts of different substances liberated by the same quantity of electricity passing through the electrolytic solution are proportional to their chemical equivalent weights (Atomic Mass of Metal \div Number of electrons required to reduce the cation).

There were no constant current sources available during Faraday's times. The general practice was to put a coulometer (a standard electrolytic cell) for determining the quantity of electricity passed from the amount of metal (generally silver or copper) deposited or consumed. However, coulometers are now obsolete and we now have constant current (I) sources available and the quantity of electricity Q , passed is given by

$$Q = It$$

Q is in coulombs when I is in ampere and t is in second.

The amount of electricity (or charge) required for oxidation or reduction depends on the stoichiometry of the electrode reaction. For example, in the reaction:



One mole of the electron is required for the reduction of one mole of silver ions.

We know that charge on one electron is equal to $1.6021 \times 10^{-19} \text{C}$.

Therefore, the charge on one mole of electrons is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} N_A \times 1.6021 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} &= 6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1} \times 1.6021 \times 10^{-19} \\ \text{C} &= 96487 \text{ C mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

This quantity of electricity is called **Faraday** and is represented by the symbol **F**.

For approximate calculations we use $1\text{F} \approx 96500 \text{ C mol}^{-1}$.

For the electrode reactions:



It is obvious that one mole of Mg^{2+} and Al^{3+} require 2 mol of electrons (2F) and 3 mol of electrons (3F) respectively. The charge passed through the electrolytic cell during electrolysis is equal to the product of current in amperes and time in seconds. In commercial production of metals, current as high as 50,000 amperes are used that amounts to about 0.518 F per second.


Example 3.10

A solution of CuSO_4 is electrolysed for 10 minutes with a current of 1.5 amperes. What is the mass of copper deposited at the cathode?

Solution

$t = 600 \text{ s}$ charge = current \times time = $1.5 \text{ A} \times 600 \text{ s} = 900 \text{ C}$

According to the reaction:



We require 2F or $2 \times 96487 \text{ C}$ to deposit 1 mol or 63 g of Cu.

For 900 C, the mass of Cu deposited

$$= (63 \text{ g mol}^{-1} \times 900 \text{ C}) / (2 \times 96487 \text{ C mol}^{-1}) = 0.2938 \text{ g.}$$

3.5.1 Products of Electrolysis

Products of electrolysis depend on the nature of material being electrolysed and the type of electrodes being used. If the electrode is inert (e.g., platinum or gold), it does not participate in the chemical reaction and acts only as source or sink for electrons. On the other hand, if the electrode is reactive, it participates in the electrode reaction. Thus, the products of electrolysis may be different for reactive and inert

electrodes. The products of electrolysis depend on the different oxidising and reducing species present in the electrolytic cell and their standard electrode potentials. Moreover, some of the electrochemical processes although feasible, are so slow kinetically that at lower voltages these do not seem to take place and extra potential (called *overpotential*) has to be applied, which makes such process more difficult to occur.

For example, if we use molten NaCl, the products of electrolysis are sodium metal and Cl₂ gas. Here we have only one cation (Na⁺) which is reduced at the cathode (Na⁺ + e⁻ → Na) and one anion (Cl⁻) which is oxidised at the anode (Cl⁻ → ½ Cl₂ + e⁻). During the electrolysis of aqueous sodium chloride solution, the products are NaOH, Cl₂ and H₂. In this case besides Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions we also have H⁺ and OH⁻ ions along with the solvent molecules, H₂O.

At the cathode there is competition between the following reduction reactions:



The reaction with higher value of E^{\ominus} is preferred and therefore, the reaction at the cathode during electrolysis is:



but H⁺ (aq) is produced by the dissociation of H₂O, i.e.,






Therefore, the net reaction at the cathode may be written as the sum of (3.33) and (3.34) and we have




At the anode the following oxidation reactions are possible:




The reaction at anode with lower value of E^{\ominus} is preferred and therefore, water should get oxidised in preference to Cl⁻ (aq). However, on account of overpotential of oxygen, reaction (3.36) is preferred. Thus, the net reactions may be summarised as:




Net reaction:



The standard electrode potentials are replaced by electrode potentials given by Nernst equation (Eq. 3.8) to take into account the concentration effects. During the electrolysis of sulphuric acid, the following processes are possible at the anode:





For dilute sulphuric acid, reaction (3.38) is preferred but at higher concentrations of H_2SO_4 , reaction (3.39) is preferred.

Intext Questions



- 3.10** If a current of 0.5 ampere flows through a metallic wire for 2 hours, then how many electrons would flow through the wire?
- 3.11** Suggest a list of metals that are extracted electrolytically.
- 3.12** Consider the reaction: $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-} + 14\text{H}^+ + 6\text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{Cr}^{3+} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$
What is the quantity of electricity in coulombs needed to reduce 1 mol of $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-}$?

3.6 Batteries

Any battery (actually it may have one or more than one cell connected in series) or cell that we use as a source of electrical energy is basically a galvanic cell where the chemical energy of the redox reaction is converted into electrical energy. However, for a battery to be of practical use it should be reasonably light, compact and its voltage should not vary appreciably during its use. There are mainly two types of batteries.

3.6.1 Primary Batteries

In the primary batteries, the reaction occurs only once and after use over a period of time battery becomes dead and cannot be reused again. The most familiar example of this type is the dry cell (known as Leclanche cell after its discoverer) which is used commonly in our transistors and clocks. The cell consists of a zinc container that also acts as anode and the cathode is a carbon (graphite) rod surrounded by powdered manganese dioxide and carbon (Fig.3.8). The space between the electrodes is filled by a moist paste of ammonium chloride (NH_4Cl) and zinc chloride (ZnCl_2). The electrode reactions are complex, but they can be written approximately as follows :



In the reaction at cathode, manganese is reduced from the + 4 oxidation state to the +3 state. Ammonia produced in the reaction forms a complex with Zn^{2+} to give $[\text{Zn}(\text{NH}_3)_4]^{2+}$. The cell has a potential of nearly 1.5 V.

Mercury cell, (Fig. 3.9) suitable for low current devices like hearing aids, watches, etc. consists of zinc – mercury amalgam as anode and a paste of HgO and carbon as the cathode. The electrolyte is a paste of KOH and ZnO . The electrode reactions for the cell are given below:






Fig. 3.8: A commercial dry cell consists of a graphite (carbon) cathode in a zinc container; the latter acts as the anode.

Fig. 3.9
Commonly used mercury cell. The reducing agent is zinc and the oxidising agent is mercury (II) oxide.



The overall reaction is represented by


$$\text{Zn(Hg)} + \text{HgO(s)} \rightarrow \text{ZnO(s)} + \text{Hg(l)}$$

The cell potential is approximately 1.35 V and remains constant during its life as the overall reaction does not involve any ion in solution whose concentration can change during its life time.


3.6.2 Secondary Batteries

A secondary cell after use can be recharged by passing current through it in the opposite direction so that it can be used again. A good secondary cell can undergo a large number of discharging and charging cycles. The most important secondary cell is the lead storage battery (Fig. 3.10) commonly used in automobiles and invertors. It consists of a lead anode and a grid of lead packed with lead dioxide (PbO_2) as cathode. A 38% solution of sulphuric acid is used as an electrolyte.

The cell reactions when the battery is in use are given below:



i.e., overall cell reaction consisting of cathode and anode reactions is:



On charging the battery the reaction is reversed and $\text{PbSO}_4(\text{s})$ on anode and cathode is converted into Pb and PbO_2 , respectively.





Fig. 3.10: The Lead storage battery.

Fig. 3.11
A rechargeable nickel-cadmium cell in a jelly roll arrangement and separated by a layer soaked in moist sodium or potassium hydroxide.



Another important secondary cell is the nickel-cadmium cell (Fig. 3.11) which has longer life than the lead storage cell but more expensive to manufacture. We shall not go into details of working of the cell and the electrode reactions during charging and discharging. The overall reaction during discharge is:



3.7 Fuel Cells

Production of electricity by thermal plants is not a very efficient method and is a major source of pollution. In such plants, the chemical energy (heat of combustion) of fossil fuels (coal, gas or oil) is first used for converting water into high pressure steam. This is then used to run a turbine to produce electricity. We know that a galvanic cell directly converts chemical energy into electricity and is highly efficient. It is now possible to make such cells in which reactants are fed continuously to the electrodes and products are removed continuously from the electrolyte compartment. **Galvanic cells** that are designed to convert the energy of combustion of fuels like hydrogen, methane, methanol, etc. directly into electrical energy are called **fuel cells**.





Fig. 3.12: Fuel cell using H_2 and O_2 produces electricity.

One of the most successful fuel cells uses the reaction of hydrogen with oxygen to form water (Fig. 3.12). The cell was used for providing electrical power in the Apollo space programme. The water vapours produced during the reaction were condensed and added to the drinking water supply for the astronauts. In the cell, hydrogen and oxygen are bubbled through porous carbon electrodes into concentrated aqueous sodium hydroxide solution. Catalysts like finely divided platinum or palladium metal are incorporated into the electrodes for increasing the rate of electrode reactions. The electrode reactions are given below:



Overall reaction being:



The cell runs continuously as long as the reactants are supplied. Fuel cells produce electricity with an efficiency of about 70 % compared

3.8 Corrosion

to thermal plants whose efficiency is about 40%. There has been tremendous progress in the development of new electrode materials, better catalysts and electrolytes for increasing the efficiency of fuel cells. These have been used in automobiles on an experimental basis. Fuel cells are pollution free and in view of their future importance, a variety of fuel cells have been fabricated and tried.

Corrosion slowly coats the surfaces of metallic objects with oxides or other salts of the metal. The rusting of iron, tarnishing of silver, development of green coating on copper and bronze are some of the examples of corrosion. It causes enormous damage to buildings, bridges, ships and to all objects made of metals especially that of iron. We lose crores of rupees every year on account of corrosion.







Fig. 3.13: Corrosion of iron in atmosphere


In corrosion, a metal is oxidised by loss of electrons to oxygen and formation of oxides. Corrosion of iron (commonly known as rusting) occurs in presence of water and air. The chemistry of corrosion is quite complex but it may be considered essentially as an electrochemical phenomenon. At a particular spot (Fig. 3.13) of an object made of iron, oxidation takes place and that spot behaves as anode and we can write the reaction



Electrons released at anodic spot move through the metal and go to another spot on the metal and reduce oxygen in the presence of H^+ (which is believed to be available from H_2CO_3 formed due to dissolution of carbon dioxide from air into water. Hydrogen ion in water may also be available due to dissolution of other acidic oxides from the atmosphere). This spot behaves as cathode with the reaction



The overall reaction being:



The ferrous ions are further oxidised by atmospheric oxygen to ferric ions which come out as rust in the form of hydrated ferric oxide ($\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot x \text{H}_2\text{O}$) and with further production of hydrogen ions.

Prevention of corrosion is of prime importance. It not only saves money but also helps in preventing accidents such as a bridge collapse or failure of a key component due to corrosion. One of the simplest methods of preventing corrosion is to prevent the surface of the metallic object to come in contact with atmosphere. This can be done by covering the surface with paint or by some chemicals (e.g. bisphenol). Another simple method is to cover the surface by other metals (Sn, Zn, etc.) that are inert or react to save the object. An electrochemical method is to provide a sacrificial electrode of another metal (like Mg, Zn, etc.) which corrodes itself but saves the object.